ALLOCATION FORMULAS FOR 2004-2005 FEDERAL PROGRAMS

TITLE I, Part A IMPROVING ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF THE DISADVANTAGED

Allotment to State Agency for Elementary and Secondary Education - 100%

95% - LEA Allotment

4% - SEA Program Improvement

- 95% of Program Improvement Funds go directly to schools not at the base level for Annual Yearly Progress
- 5% of Program Improvement Funds is for Technical Assistance and Support 1% SEA Administration

The U. S. Office of Education computes the Title I allocation for each school district using census poverty and census population for children ages 5-17, children in neglected or delinquent institutions, and foster children. Large districts (LEAs serving an area with a total population of 20,000 or more) will receive their allocation based solely on census poverty data.

Beginning with the 2004-2005 school year, Missouri has requested approval from the USDE to use the following data to distribute Title I, Part A funds to LEAs serving an area with a total population of less than 20,000. The Missouri Board of Education approved the use of this alternative data during their February 2004 meeting.

Alternative poverty data, used to distribute Title I funds to LEAs

2000 census poverty data and foster child counts (multiplied by .80)

January 2004 free/reduced price lunch counts as reported on Core Data (multiplied by .20) October 2003 caseload counts of children in locally operated institutions for neglected children (multiplied by 1.00)

Alternative populations of children ages 5-17, used to compute poverty percentage

2000 census population of children ages 5 - 17 (multiplied by .80)

January 2004 enrollment counts as reported on Core Data (multiplied by .20)

October 2003 caseload counts of children in locally operated institutions for neglected children (multiplied by 1.00)

NEGLECTED INSTITUTIONS -- Neglected funds are part of the district's allocated funds. Using the January membership counts and the neglected counts of October 2003, funds are distributed to neglected institutions based upon a \$ per child amount.

DELINQUENT INSTITUTIONS – A separate grant is given for delinquent institutions. Each eligible delinquent institution receives their proportionate amount based upon their October 2003 count.

The Title I allocation consists of four separate funding calculations: Basic Grant, Concentration Grant, Targeted Grant, and Education Finance Incentive Grant (EFIG). Each funding category has different criteria and formula to distribute these funds.

Formula count is defined for large districts (total population of 20,000 or more) as census poverty + neglected count + foster child count and for small districts (total population of less than 20,000) as alternative poverty data.

LEA ELIGIBILITY

- Basic Grants: At least 10 formula children <u>and</u> the number must exceed 2 percent of the district's 5-17 population.
- Concentration Grants: More than 6,500 formula children or 15 percent of the district's 5-17 population
- Targeted Grants: At least 10 formula children <u>and</u> the number must be at least 5 percent of the district's 5-17 population
- Education Finance Incentive Grants: Same as Targeted Grants

The hold harmless provision applies to the Basic Grant, Concentration Grant, Targeted Grant and the EFIG Grant.

HOLD-HARMLESS GUARANTEE

- All 4 formulas provide for a variable hold-harmless guarantee for each LEA of 85, 90, and 95% of their previous year's allocation
- The hold-harmless percentage depends on the formula child rate of each LEA
- For Basic, Targeted, and EFIG, an LEA must meet the eligibility criteria in order for hold-harmless protection to apply
- For Concentration Grants, the hold-harmless provision applies to an LEA for four years even if it no longer meets the eligibility criteria

Hold Harmless Amounts – The amount made available to the LEA shall be:

- Not less than 95% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of formula children is not less than 30%
- Not less than 90% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of formula children is between 15% and 30%
- Not less than 85% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of formula children is below 15%.

TITLE I BASIC GRANTS --

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more formula children and the number must exceed 2% of the district's 5-17 population.

TITLE I CONCENTRATION GRANTS --

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 6,500 formula children or 15% of the district's 5-17 population.

TITLE I TARGETED GRANTS --

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more formula children and the number must be at least 5% of the district's 5-17 population.

Weights for Title I Targeted allocations to LEAs -- The larger of the weighted criteria by percentage of children or by number of children

Weights by percentage of census poverty children:		Weights by number of census poverty	
		children:	
<= 15.58% =	1.0	<=691 =	1.0
> 15.58% <= 22.11% =	1.75	> 691 <= 2262 =	1.5
> 22.11% <= 30.16% =	2.5	> 2262 <= 7851 =	2.0
> 30.16% <= 38.24% =	3.25	> 7851 <= 35514 =	2.5
> 38.24 =	4.0	> 35514 =	3.0

TITLE I EDUCATION FINANCE INCENTIVE GRANT (EFIG) -- Based on Fiscal Effort and Equity

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more formula children and the number must be at least 5% of the district's 5-17 population.

Weights for allocations to LEAs -- The larger of the weighted criteria by percentage of children or by number of children

Weights for State by equity factor

Percentage of census	Equity factor	Equity factor	Equity factor
poverty children	< .10	>= .10<.20	>=.20
<= 15.58%	1.0	1.0	1.0
> 15.58% <= 22.11%	1.75	1.5	2.0
> 22.11% <= 30.16%	2.5	3.0	4.0
> 30.16% <= 38.24%	3.25	4.5	6.0
> 38.24 =	4.0	6.0	8.0

Weights for State by equity factor

Number of census	Equity factor	Equity factor	Equity factor
poverty children	< .10	>= .10<.20	>=.20
<=691 =	1.0	1.0	1.0
> 691 <= 2262 =	1.5	1.5	2.0
> 2262 <= 7851 =	2.0	2.25	3.0
> 7851 <= 35514 =	2.5	3.375	4.5
> 35514 =	3.0	4.5	6.0

The new law specifies that districts must allocate funds under these formulas (Targeted Grants and Education Finance Incentive Grants) in the same way as other Title I funds, and use the money for Title I purposes. These two formulas drive more money to the highest poverty districts, based on census poverty numbers.

TITLE I, PART C (EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN)

99% - LEA and Migrant Center Allotments 1% - SEA Administration

Allocations to LEAs are based on the number of migratory children aged 3 through 21.

TITLE II – PART A (TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING FUND)

95% - LEA Allotment:

2.5% - State Agency for Higher Education (Competitive Grants)

1% - SEA Administration

1.5% - SEA Statewide Activities

Hold Harmless – 100% of 2001-2002 Title II Eisenhower funds + 100% of 2001-2002 Class Size Reduction funds.

Additional funds over the hold harmless amount should be distributed as follows:

20% is distributed based on census population ages 5-17,

80% is distributed based on census poverty

For Title II.A purposes, equitable services to nonpublic schools applies only to the extent that the LEA uses funds to provide professional development to teachers and other educational staff. The share of the LEA's Title II.A funds that is used for professional development and subject to a determination of equitable expenditures shall be not less than the aggregate amount of funds that were used for professional development for fiscal year 2001-2002 under Title II Eisenhower Professional Development and Class Size Reduction.

TITLE II, PART D (ENHANCING EDUCATION THROUGH TECHNOLOGY)

42.5% - Formula LEA Allotments (Grants Management Section)

42.5% - Competitive Grants (Instructional Technology Section)

5% - SEA Statewide Activities

10% - Nonpublic Participation (Details to be determined later)

Formula LEA Grant is awarded to eligible LEAs by formula based on each LEA's share of Title I, Part A funds for that year (2004-2005 Title I Allocation).

TITLE III – PART A (ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT)

95% - LEA Allotment:

80% of the 95% will be based on the number of limited English proficient 20% of the 95% will be based on the number of immigrant children and youth

3% - SEA Administration and Planning

2% - SEA Statewide Activities

TITLE IV, PART A (SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES)

Allotment to Governor - 20%

Allotment to State Agency for Elementary and Secondary Education - 80%

93% of 80% - LEA Allotment

60% of 93% - Distributed based on relative amount received under Part A of Title I for the preceding fiscal year

40% of 93% - Distributed based on relative enrollment (public and non-profit nonpublic schools).

3% of 80% - SEA Administration/ Uniform Management Information System 4% of 80% - SEA State Level Activities

TITLE V, PART A (INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS)

Allotment to State Programs - 15% (Technical Assistance and Direct Grants to LEAs and Statewide education reform activities that assist LEAs to provide targeted assistance, and State Administration (Supervision, planning, monitoring, & evaluation)

Allotment to LEAs-85%

100% of the allotment to LEAs should be distributed based on relative enrollment (public & nonpublic schools). The United States Secretary of Education has approved criteria for adjustments, such as, high concentration of children from low-income families and children living in sparsely populated areas.

Under the formula, a weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA, and additional weighting, in accordance with the criteria below, is assigned to each pupil enrolled in LEAs with the greatest number or percentages of children whose education imposes a higher than average cost per child:

- Children from Low-Income Families -- An additional weight of .5 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a concentration of census poverty children of 30-60%, and an additional weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a concentration of census poverty children in excess of 60%.
- Children Living in Sparsely Populated Areas (represented by children attending LEAs with small enrollments) -- An additional weight of .5 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with an enrollment of 250 to 350 pupils, and an additional weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a total enrollment of fewer than 250 pupils.
- The total number of weighted pupils in any LEA may not exceed two times the total enrollment.

In Missouri, nonpublic schools are served through bypass (Blue Hills Home Corporation). The Blue Hills Home Corporation provides us with their contract amount for Administration. The nonpublic schools allocations are computed with the same weighted enrollment numbers as their resident school